REMARKS

This is intended as a full and complete response to the Office Action dated July 15, 2003, having a shortened statutory period for response set to expire on October 15, 2003. Please reconsider the claims pending in the application for reasons discussed below.

Claims 1-25 are pending in the application. Claims 1, 3-10, 12-18 and 26-33 remain pending following entry of this response. Claims 1, 3-5, 7-10, 12-14 and 16-17 have been amended. Claims 2, 11 and 19-15 have been cancelled. New claims 26-33 have been added to recite aspects of the invention. Applicants submit that the amendments and new claims do not introduce new matter.

Claims 1-7, 9-16, and 18-23 stand rejected under 35 USC § 102(e) as being anticipated by *Gever et al.* (US 6,313,835 B1, hereinafter *Gever*). The Applicants respectfully traverse the rejection.

Gever is directed to a method for simplified generation of web page components which include animation and respond differently at different times and/or to different users. (See, Col. 1, line 64-Col. 2, line 8). In Gever, a server provides a web site to which a user connects in order to create a dynamic web page component, which is displayed differently to visitors at different times. To create such components, the user selects from a plurality of basic animation sequences, one or more animation sequence to be displayed on the web page. The web site then displays the component according to the time of day at the geographic location of the visitor or the time of day at the geographic location of the user who created the page. Therefore, Gever is directed at creating web page components by choosing via a remote connection to a server at least one of a plurality of basic animation sequences and posting the web page component including the animation sequence to a web page. In contrast to Gever, at least one embodiment of the present invention is directed to configuring a browser program with different browser settings according to a predetermined time-value. For example, when a predetermined time-value is satisfied by a current time, the browser program is configured with the browser settings associated with the predetermined time-value. The different browser settings may include, for example, homepage network addresses,

bookmark data, toolbar configurations, and visited network address data. The browser is configured with each of these browser settings, when the associated time-value is satisfied by the current time. No such functionality is taught, shown or suggested by *Gever*. In particular, the components in *Gever* that respond differently at different times are web page components that include animation sequences, and these components are not browser settings. Thus, *Gever* does not teach, show, or suggest configuring a browser program with different browser settings when a predetermined time-value is satisfied by a current time. Withdrawal of the rejection is respectfully requested

Claims 8, 17 and 24 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over *Gever* in view of *Huck* (US 5,970,230). *Gever* has been discussed and overcome above. Therefore, the combination of *Gever* with *Huck*, or any other reference, is obviated. However, Applicants briefly point out that, as in the case of *Gever*, *Huck* does not teach, show, or suggest configuring a browser program with different browser settings when a predetermined time-value is satisfied by a current time. *Huck* provides a method for allowing a group of cooperating websites to pass dynamically generated information to the user based on the referring web page the user has visited but left. (See, Col 3, lines 9-12.) Therefore, *Huck* does not teach configuring a browser according to predetermined conditions. Therefore, the Applicants believe that the claims are allowable and respectfully request allowance of the same.

In conclusion, the references cited by the Examiner, alone or in combination, do not teach, show, or suggest the invention as claimed.

The secondary references made of record are noted. However, it is believed that the secondary references are no more pertinent to the Applicant's disclosure than the primary references cited in the office action. Therefore, Applicant believes that a detailed discussion of the secondary references is not necessary for a full and complete response to this office action.

Having addressed all issues set out in the office action, Applicant respectfully submits that the claims are in condition for allowance and respectfully request that the claims be allowed.

Respectfully submitted,

Gero G. McClellan

Registration No. 44,227

MOSER, PATTERSON & SHERIDAN, L.L.P.

3040 Post Oak Blvd., Suite 1500

Houston, TX 77056

Telephone: (713) 623-4844 Facsimile: (713) 623-4846 Attorney for Applicant(s)